Low-cost pain infusion catheter for the control of postoperative pain in ambulatory foot surgery
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Abstract
The authors report the use of a low-cost method of providing prolonged patient controlled anesthesia at the surgical site following elective forefoot surgery performed in ambulatory surgical settings. In this series of 54 patients there were no postoperative complications and 95.92% of patients believed the device helped to control their post-operative pain.
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1. Introduction
When considering elective foot surgery, postoperative pain has been cited as one of the primary concerns expressed by patients [1]. An obvious goal of patient care in the perioperative period is to adequately control pain. It has been noted that patient perception of control and participation in the pain reduction process may positively affect patient satisfaction post-operatively [2].

Continuous peripheral nerve blocks have been utilized by a variety of surgical specialties to decrease postoperative pain. Clinical trials have shown these to be effective in both reducing the amount of pain experienced and in decreasing the oral and intravenous use of narcotics following cardiac [3,4], obstetric [5], plastic [6,7], maxillofacial [7], and orthopedic surgical procedures [7,8]. Similar successes following lower extremity surgery have been reported in the form of continuous sciatic and popliteal blocks [9–13]. Additionally, disposable pain pumps have been successfully utilized for more distal nerve blockade following foot surgery [14].

Although a variety of pain pumps are commercially produced, in some ambulatory settings these pain pumps may not be available or may prove cost-prohibitive to the patient [15]. The authors report the use of a low-cost method of providing prolonged anesthesia at the surgical site following elective forefoot surgery.

2. Technique
The device consists of a multi-hole, thin (20-gauge) epidural catheter, 3 cm3 needleless syringes, and 0.5% bupivicaine without epinephrine. At the time of surgery, the catheter is placed in the subcutaneous layer of the surgical wound following closure of the deep fascia (Fig. 1). The catheter exits the skin proximally through a separate puncture site and is secured in place with mastisol and steri-strips (Fig. 2). The remainder of the surgical closure is performed and the dressing applied. The dressing incorporates the catheter into the bandages with only the last 2–4 cm visible as it exits the dressing proximally. This allows the patient to connect a sterile syringe to the catheter and self-administer local anesthetic directly blocking any post-operative pain (Fig. 3). Patient education is undertaken explaining use of the pain infusion catheter. Patients are directed to administer one 3 cm3 syringe at the first indication of pain in the operative site. Patients are also directed to observe for adverse effects...
Fig. 1. Placement of the catheter in the subcutaneous layer at the surgical site.

Fig. 2. The catheter exiting the skin proximally and secured with steri-strips.

Fig. 3. The syringe attached to the catheter to self-administer local anesthetic.

3. Discussion

At the authors’ institution the total cost of the apparatus is approximately $15. The senior author (JBD) has utilized this pain infusion catheter for the control of post-operative pain following surgical correction of hallux abductovalgus in 54 cases. To date there have been no cases of postoperative infection or wound dehiscence.

An attempt was made to contact all 54 patients by telephone. Forty-nine patients were interviewed, one had died of causes unrelated to the surgery, and four were lost to follow-up. Forty-seven patients (95.92%) stated that they believed the device helped to control their post-operative pain and would use the pain infusion catheter again if faced with elective outpatient forefoot surgery.

One patient (2.04%) stated she would not use the pain infusion catheter again, expressing an overall dissatisfaction with the surgical outcome. Another patient (2.04%) did not feel the pain pump was necessary citing very limited post-surgical pain. All 49 patients (100%) stated they experienced no pain or difficulty in removing the catheter from the surgical site.

This method of delivering patient-controlled repeated bolus local anesthesia offers several advantages over other methods. First, the patient is afforded the perception of control and participation in the pain reduction process that has been shown to enhance post-operative patient satisfaction [2]. Secondly, commercially available elastomeric, spring loaded and electronic infusers have been shown to have substantial vari-
ations in rate and duration of infusion related to ambient temperature and power source [16]. One might speculate that these variations in administration might lead to over-infiltration of the subcutaneous tissue with subsequent wound dehiscence. Because the present method involves only small sequential infusions, there is little risk of over-infiltration. Additionally, the prolonged presence of local anesthetics has a reported antimicrobial benefit [17–19]. Lastly, the device as presented can be assembled from materials readily available at most hospitals and surgery centers at a very low cost to the patient.

4. Conclusion

The pain infusion catheter as described is a low-cost adjunct to controlling postoperative pain in patients undergoing elective forefoot surgery. Additional prospective clinical validation is needed to compare this and other methods of infusion for peripheral anesthesia following elective outpatient forefoot surgery.
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